IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA.

WRIT PETITION NO. 92 OF 1997.

1. Subhash Mandrekar,
   r/at Maimoleam Udai
   Wadi, Vasco da Gama.
2. Lata Borkar, r/at
   Assai Dongri,
   Dabolim.
3. Uma Kalaskar, r/at
   Near Dabolim Railway
   Station, Goa.
4. Laxmi R. Velduki,
   r/at New Waddem Housing Board,
   Vasco da Gama.
5. Parvati Pednekar, r/at
   Near P.W.D. Tank,
   Aito Chicalim,
   Vasco da Gama.
6. Madhukar J. Naik, r/at
   Dabolim Airport,
   Goa.
7. Anthony John Dias, r/at
   c/o Krishna Tamborkar,
   H. No. 292/A, Rajina
   Mandir School, Chicalim.
8. Ashwini P. Gosawi, r/at
   Near Dabolim Railway
   Station, Goa.
9. Venkatesh Swamy, r/at
   Near Salgaocar's Hospital,
   Chicalim.
10. Hanumanth Maryappa, r/at
    Assai Dongri, Dabolim.
11. Mariyamma Hatnari, r/at
    Assai Dongri, Dabolim.
12. Asha Mandrekar, r/at
    Maimoleam Udai Wadi,
    Vasco da Gama.
13. V. Murthy, r/at
    c/o Ganapati Tea Stall,
    Mangor Hill, Vasco.
14. Monica Fernandes, r/at
    Assai Dongri, Dabolim.
15. Anusha Kamble, r/at
    Assai Dongri, Dabolim.
16. Manohar G., r/at
    c/o Ganapati Tea Stall,
    Mangor Hill, Vasco. ... Petitioners.

Versus

1. Airports Authority of India,
   a statutory authority
   constituted under the
   provisions of The Airports

Authority of India Act 1994
and served through the
Airport Director, Airports
Authority of India, National
Airports Division, Goa
Airport, Goa.
2. Union of India through the
Secretary, Ministry of
Labour, Shram Bhawan,
New Delhi.
3. M/s. Mag Services,
Beemay Villa, Belsur
St. Matias, P.O. Piedade
Divar, Ilhas Goa. ... Respondents.

Mr. J.P. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. S.G. Dessai, Senior Advocate with Mr. Arun Bras de Sa, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Mr. A.D. Bhobe, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

Coram: P.V. KAKADE AND
P.V. HARDAS, JJ.

Date: 28th October 2002.

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER HARDAS, J.)

Heard Mr. Mulgaonkar, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Dessai, learned senior advocate for the respondent no. 1 and Mr. Lotlikar, learned senior advocate for the respondent no. 3.

2. Mr. Mulgaonkar, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, states that the reliefs claimed by the petitioners are based on the Notification, dated 9th December 1976, issued by the Central Government under the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970. The said Notification has been struck down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Steel Authority of**

India Limited and others v. National Union Water Front Workers and others, 2001 AIR SCW 3574. Therefore, according to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition becomes infructuous. It is needless to mention that dismissal of this petition would not come in the way of the petitioners seeking relief before the appropriate authority/forum.

4. Writ Petition is, thus, dismissed as infructuous with no order as to costs. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated.

(P.V. KAKADE)
JUDGE.

(P.V. HARDAS)
JUDGE.

ed's.